

167

ARMY INDUSTRIAL COLLEGE
Washington, D.C.

(Course at the Army Industrial College, Feb. 2, 1925 - June 30, 1925)

PROBLEM NO. 3.

SUBJECT: Orientation; Mobilization and Procurement Plans.

OBJECT: It is desired that each student officer secure a working familiarity with the Basic Mobilization Plan of the General Staff, the appendix to this plan pertaining to his branch of the service, the War Plan of the Assistant Secretary of War, the appendix thereto pertaining to his own branch and with that part of the war plan of his own branch pertaining to procurement. Attention should be paid chiefly to the underlying theories and the broad principles and decisions involved to the end that these plans may become useful references wherever applicable during the remainder of the course.

REFERENCES:

As named above. Inasmuch as all references given are highly confidential and but a limited number of copies exist, it is desired that officers return copies promptly to Miss Williams when they have finished with them so that other members of the class may have the use of them. All copies must also be returned each evening so that they may be locked in the ^{office} safe. Officers are requested to make as full use as practicable of copies of the Basic Mobilization Plan and Appendixes thereto, issued to their branch chiefs. The senior officer from each branch is requested to arrange for borrowing the branch copy, for its use by himself and other officers from the same branch and for its safe keeping, either by Miss Williams or in the Branch.

REQUIRED:

A report on the general theory underlying our present procurement war planning with any suggestions for improvement and constructive criticism which the student officer may care to offer. As far as practicable without violation of Naval policy, Naval student officers are requested to compare the Navy procurement planning with the Army planning, bringing out the basic differences necessarily imposed by differences in mission and offering suggestions as to ways in which the Army plans might be modified in light of the mutual Army-Navy situation to make them ^{more} valuable to the national defense.

168

ARMY INDUSTRIAL COLLEGE
Washington, D.C.

(Course at the Army Industrial College, Feb. 2, 1925 - June 30, 1925)

PROBLEM NO. 3.

SUBJECT: Orientation: Mobilization and Procurement Plans.

OBJECT: It is desired that each student officer secure a working familiarity with the Basic Mobilization Plan of the General Staff, the appendix to this plan pertaining to his branch of the service, the War Plan of the Assistant Secretary of War, the appendix thereto pertaining to his own branch and with that part of the war plan of his own branch pertaining to procurement. Attention should be paid chiefly to the underlying theories and the broad principles and decisions involved to the end that these plans may become useful references wherever applicable during the remainder of the course.

REFERENCES:

As named above. Inasmuch as all references given are highly confidential and but a limited number of copies exist, it is desired that officers return copies promptly to Miss Williams when they have finished with them so that other members of the class may have the use of them. All copies must also be returned each evening so that they may be locked in the safe. Officers are requested to make as full use as practicable of copies of the Basic Mobilization Plan and Appendixes thereto, issued to their branch chiefs. The senior officer from each branch is requested to arrange for borrowing the branch copy, for its use by himself and other officers from the same branch and for its safe keeping, either by Miss Williams or in the Branch.

REQUIRED:

A report on the general theory underlying our present procurement war planning with any suggestions for improvement and constructive criticism which the student officer may care to offer. As far as practicable without violation of Naval policy, Naval student officers are requested to compare the Navy procurement planning with the Army planning, bringing out the basic differences necessarily imposed by differences in mission and offering suggestions as to ways in which the Army plans might be modified in light of the mutual Army-Navy situation to make them ^{more} valuable to the national defense.